

A new eigenvalue bound for independent sets

J. Harant ¹, S. Richter ²

¹ Ilmenau University of Technology, Department of Mathematics, Germany

² Chemnitz University of Technology, Department of Mathematics, Germany

email: jochen.harant@tu-ilmenau.de, sebastian.richter@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de

Abstract. Let G be a simple, undirected, and connected graph on n vertices with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$. Moreover, let m , δ , and α denote the size, the minimum degree, and the independence number of G , respectively. W.H. Haemers proved $\alpha \leq \frac{-\lambda_1 \lambda_n}{\delta^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_n} n$ and, if η is the largest Laplacian eigenvalue of G , then $\alpha \leq \frac{\eta - \delta}{\eta} n$ is shown by C.D. Godsil and M.W. Newman. We prove $\alpha \leq \frac{2\sigma - 2}{\sigma \delta} m$ for the largest normalized eigenvalue σ of G , if $\delta \geq 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, an infinite family \mathcal{F}_ε of graphs is constructed such that $\frac{2\sigma - 2}{\sigma \delta} m = \alpha < (\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon) \min\{\frac{-\lambda_1 \lambda_n}{\delta^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_n} n, \frac{\eta - \delta}{\eta} n\}$ for all $G \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. Moreover, a sequence of graphs is presented showing that the difference between $\frac{2\sigma - 2}{\sigma \delta} m$ and D.M. Cvetković's upper bound $\min\{|\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | \lambda_i \leq 0\}|, |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | \lambda_i \geq 0\}|\}$ on α can be arbitrarily small.

Keywords. independence number, eigenvalues

1 Introduction and Result

We use standard notation and terminology of graph theory and consider a finite, simple, and undirected graph G with vertex set $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and edge set E , where $m = |E|$. Let d_i and δ denote the degree of $i \in V$ in G and the minimum degree of G , respectively. Furthermore, we assume that G has no isolated vertices, i.e. $\delta \geq 1$. A set of vertices $I \subseteq V$ in G is *independent*, if no two vertices in I are adjacent. The *independence number* α of G is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of G .

The independence number is one of the most fundamental and well-studied graph parameters [14]. In view of its computational hardness [11] various bounds on the independence number have been proposed, for a survey see [12].

In this paper, we are interested in upper bounds on α involving eigenvalues of matrices assigned to G (lower bounds on α in terms of eigenvalues can be found in [16]). Let $\lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ denote the eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix A of G . Our starting point is the following Delsarte-Hoffman-bound [4, 8, 10, 13]. If G is an r -regular graph, then

$$\alpha \leq \frac{-\lambda_1}{r - \lambda_1} n.$$

Note that $\lambda_n = r$ if G is r -regular [4]. In [9, 10], W.H. Haemers proved the following extension of the Delsarte-Hoffman-bound for arbitrary graphs.

$$\alpha \leq \frac{-\lambda_1 \lambda_n}{\delta^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_n} n.$$

If all eigenvalues of G are taken into consideration, then D.M. Cvetković [4, 6, 7] proved

$$\alpha \leq \min\{|\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | \lambda_i \leq 0\}|, |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} | \lambda_i \geq 0\}|\}.$$

Let D be the *degree matrix* of G , that is an $(n \times n)$ diagonal matrix, where d_i is the i -th element of the main diagonal. Moreover, let $0 = \eta_1 \leq \dots \leq \eta_n$ be the eigenvalues of the *Laplacian matrix* $L = D - A$ of G [1].

In [8], C.D. Godsil and M.W. Newman established the following inequality, which is also a consequence of a result in [2] concerning the size of a cut in a graph.

$$\alpha \leq \frac{\eta_n - \delta}{\eta_n} n.$$

For G without isolated vertices, the *normalized Laplacian* is the $(n \times n)$ matrix $\mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})$ with $l_{ij} = 1$ if $i = j$, $l_{ij} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}$ if $ij \in E$, and $l_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The eigenvalues $\sigma_1 \leq \dots \leq \sigma_n$ of \mathcal{L} are the *normalized eigenvalues* of G [3, 5]. It is known that $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $1 < \sigma_n \leq 2$ [3, 5].

Our result is the following inequality.

$$\alpha \leq \frac{2\sigma_n - 2}{\sigma_n \delta} m.$$

For its proof, let $\{\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of R^n consisting of eigenvectors of the symmetric matrix \mathcal{L} such that \underline{u}_i is an eigenvector of σ_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Moreover, let $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$ and $\underline{y} = \mu_1 \underline{u}_1 + \dots + \mu_n \underline{u}_n$ for suitable $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in R$.

It follows

$\underline{y}^T \mathcal{L} \underline{y} = \sigma_2 \mu_2^2 + \dots + \sigma_n \mu_n^2 = -\sigma_n \mu_1^2 + (\sigma_2 - \sigma_n) \mu_2^2 + \dots + (\sigma_{n-1} - \sigma_n) \mu_{n-1}^2 + \sigma_n (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_n^2) \leq -\sigma_n \mu_1^2 + \sigma_n (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_n^2) = -\sigma_n (\underline{y}^T \underline{u}_1)^2 + \sigma_n (\underline{y}^T \underline{y})$. Let M be an $(n \times n)$ diagonal matrix, where $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i}}$ is the i -th element of the main diagonal, and I be the $(n \times n)$ identity matrix. With $M^T = M$ and $\mathcal{L} = I - MAM$, we obtain $\underline{y}^T MAM \underline{y} \geq \sigma_n (\underline{y}^T \underline{u}_1)^2 + (1 - \sigma_n) \underline{y}^T \underline{y}$. We may choose $\underline{u}_1^T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} (\sqrt{d_1}, \dots, \sqrt{d_n})$ and, substituting $y_i = x_i \sqrt{d_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, it follows that

$$\sigma_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i \right)^2 + 2m(1 - \sigma_n) \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i^2 \leq 4m \sum_{ij \in E} x_i x_j \quad (1)$$

for arbitrary real numbers x_1, \dots, x_n . Let I be a maximum independent set of G and $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $x_i = 1$ if $i \in I$ and $x_i = 0$, otherwise. By inequality (1),

$$\sigma_n \left(\sum_{i \in I} d_i \right)^2 + 2m(1 - \sigma_n) \sum_{i \in I} d_i = \sigma_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i \right)^2 + 2m(1 - \sigma_n) \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i^2 \leq 4m \sum_{ij \in E} x_i x_j,$$

hence, with $\sum_{ij \in E} x_i x_j = 0$ and $\sum_{i \in I} d_i \geq \delta \alpha$, it follows $\alpha \leq \frac{2\sigma_n - 2}{\sigma_n \delta} m$.

Let us remark that $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i = \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i + x_j)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i^2 = \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i^2 + x_j^2)$. Hence, if G is bipartite, then $\sigma_n = 2$ [5, 15] and (1) is equivalent to

$$\left(\sum_{ij \in E} (x_i + x_j) \right)^2 \leq m \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i + x_j)^2. \quad (2)$$

Note that inequality (2) is a consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and, therefore, (2) is valid also for an arbitrary (not necessarily bipartite) graph G .

Using (2), $2m \sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i x_i \right)^2 = 2m \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i^2 + x_j^2) - \left(\sum_{ij \in E} (x_i + x_j) \right)^2 \geq m \left(2 \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i^2 + x_j^2) - \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i + x_j)^2 \right) = m \sum_{ij \in E} (x_i - x_j)^2 \geq 0$ and it follows that the coefficient $c(\sigma_n)$ of σ_n in inequality (1) is not positive. Hence, the left side of (1) is a non-increasing function in σ_n and, if $\sigma_n < 2$ and $c(\sigma_n) < 0$, then (1) is stronger than (2).

Next, for given $\varepsilon > 0$, we present the infinite family \mathcal{F}_ε mentioned in the abstract.

For a positive integer k , consider the graph G_k on $n = 3k + 1$ vertices obtained from k pairwise disjoint paths each on 3 vertices, where the vertices of these paths are numbered arbitrarily from 1 up to $3k$, an additional vertex $n = 3k + 1$, and additional $2k$ edges connecting n with the $2k$ endvertices of these paths. Obviously, G_k is bipartite, n has degree $2k$, each other vertex has degree 2, $m = 4k$, $\delta = 2$, and, since G_k is bipartite, $\sigma_n = 2$ and $\lambda_1 = -\lambda_n$ [5, 15]. Moreover, $\frac{2\sigma_n - 2}{\sigma_n \delta} m = \alpha = 2k = \frac{2}{3}(n - 1)$. Let $\underline{x} \in R^n$ be defined by $x_i = 1$ if i is a neighbour of n , $x_n = \sqrt{2k}$, and $x_i = 0$ otherwise. It follows $\lambda_n \geq \frac{\underline{x}^T A \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T \underline{x}} = \sqrt{2k}$ by Rayleigh's principle [4], hence, $\frac{-\lambda_1 \lambda_n}{\delta^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_n} n = \frac{\lambda_n^2}{4 + \lambda_n^2} n > \frac{k}{k+2} 3k$. If \underline{x} is defined by $x_i = 1$ if $i = n$ and $x_i = 0$ otherwise, then $\eta_n \geq \frac{\underline{x}^T L \underline{x}}{\underline{x}^T \underline{x}} = \frac{(\underline{x}^T D \underline{x} - \underline{x}^T A \underline{x})}{\underline{x}^T \underline{x}} = 2k$, hence, $\frac{\eta_n - \delta}{\eta_n} n > \frac{k-1}{k} 3k$. Let the integer $l = l_\varepsilon \geq 2$ be chosen large enough such that $\frac{l}{l+2} > 1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{2+3\varepsilon}$. It follows $\frac{k-1}{k} \geq \frac{k}{k+2}$ and $(\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon) \min\left\{ \frac{-\lambda_1 \lambda_n}{\delta^2 - \lambda_1 \lambda_n} n, \frac{\eta_n - \delta}{\eta_n} n \right\} > (\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon) \left(1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{2+3\varepsilon} \right) 3k = 2k$ for all $k \geq l$, hence, we are done with $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \{G_k \mid k \geq l_\varepsilon\}$.

Eventually, we show that the difference between $\frac{2\sigma_n - 2}{\sigma_n \delta} m$ and D.M. Cvetković's bound $\min\{|\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \leq 0\}|, |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \geq 0\}|\}$ can be arbitrarily small. For two graphs G on $V(G)$ and G' on $V(G')$, the *cartesian product* $G \times G'$ is the graph on $V(G) \times V(G')$ and two vertices (v, v') and (w, w') of $G \times G'$ are adjacent in $G \times G'$ if and only if $v = w$ and $v'w' \in E(G')$ or $v' = w'$ and $vw \in E(G)$. For a positive integer k , consider the bipartite and 4-regular graph $H_k = C_{4k} \times C_{4k}$ on $n = 16k^2$ vertices, where C_{4k} is the cycle on $4k$ vertices, and it follows $\frac{2\sigma_n - 2}{\sigma_n \delta} m = \alpha = \frac{n}{2}$ for H_k .

If λ and λ' are eigenvalues of G and G' , respectively, then $\lambda + \lambda'$ is an eigenvalue of $G \times G'$ [4]. If the graph G is bipartite, then the set of its eigenvalues is symmetric w.r.t. 0 [4].

Since C_{4k} has the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity 2 [4], H_k has the eigenvalue 0 with multiplicity $4k + 2$, and, consequently,

$$\min\{|\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \leq 0\}|, |\{i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \lambda_i \geq 0\}|\} = \frac{n}{2} + 2k + 1 \text{ for } G_k.$$

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to express their gratitude to Horst Sachs, Ilmenau University of Technology, and two anonymous referees for their valuable advice.

References

- [1] W.N. Anderson Jr., T.D. Morley, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of a graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 18(1985)141-145.
- [2] B. Bollobás, V. Nikiforov, Graphs and hermitian matrices: eigenvalue interlacing, *Discrete Mathematics* 289(2004)119-127.
- [3] S. Butler, Interlacing for weighted graphs using the normalized Laplacian, *Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra* 16(2007)90-98.
- [4] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, Spectra of graphs, 2011 Springer.
- [5] F.R.K. Chung, Laplacian of graphs and Cheeger's inequalities, in: *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty*, Vol. 2, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest (1996)157-172.
- [6] D.M. Cvetković, Graphs and their spectra, *Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz.* 354-356(1971)1-50.
- [7] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Applications, Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg-Leipzig, 1995, third revised and enlarged edition.
- [8] C.D. Godsil, M.W. Newman, Eigenvalue bounds for independent sets, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 98(2008)721-734.
- [9] W.H. Haemers, Eigenvalue techniques in design and graph theory, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1980. Thesis (T.H. Eindhoven, 1979) = Math. Centr. Tract 121 (Amsterdam, 1980) (pp. 28, 39, 41, 125).
- [10] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing Eigenvalues and Graphs, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 227-228(1995)593-616.
- [11] J. Håstad, Clique is hard to approximate within $n^{1-\epsilon}$, in: Proceedings of 37th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), (1996)627-636.
- [12] C.E. Larson, The independence number project: α -bounds, <http://independencenumber.wordpress.com/dynamic-surveys/>
- [13] J.H. van Lint, R.M. Wilson, A course in combinatorics, sec. ed., Cambridge University Press, 2001, page 435.

- [14] V. Lozin, D. de Werra, Foreword: Special issue on stability in graphs and related topics, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 132(2003)1-2.
- [15] V.S. Shigehalli, V.M. Shettar, Spectral techniques using normalized adjacency matrices for graph matching, *Int. Journal of Computational Science and Mathematics* vol.2, no. 4(2011)371-378.
- [16] H.S. Wilf, Spectral bounds for the clique and independence numbers of graphs, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory B* 40(1986)113-117.