TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT CHEMNITZ

Regular Simplices inscribed into the

Cube and exhibiting a Group Structure

W. Wenzel

Preprint 2002-11



Regular Simplices inscribed into the Cube and exhibiting a Group Structure

Walter Wenzel

Abstract

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we interpret the vertex set W_n of the *n*-cube as a vector space over the field \mathbb{F}_2 and prove that a regular *n*-simplex can be inscribed into the *n*-cube such that its vertices constitute a subgroup of W_n if and only if n+1 is a power of 2. Furthermore, a connection to the theory of Hamming Codes will be established.

Keywords: n-dimensional cube, n-dimensional simplex, abelian groups, congruence maps, reflections, Hamming Codes.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification:

Primary:

52B11

Secondary:

20F65, 20K27, 52B15, 94B05.

§ 1 Introduction

Many mathematicians have considered problems as follows:

- (I) Which convex polytopes $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ with regularity properties can be embedded into \mathbb{R}^n via a similarity transformation such that every vertex of P becomes a lattice point in \mathbb{Z}^n ?
- (II) Which regular polytopes P can be inscribed into a given regular polytope P_0 such that every vertex of P is also a vertex of P_0 ?

In 1937, I. J. Schoenberg [13] had solved Problem (I) in case P is a regular simplex. By making use of quadratic forms, he determined all dimensions n for which there exist regular n-simplices exhibiting only integer coordinates. Almost simultaneously, H. Hadwiger settled the same problem in [4] for even n. See also [11], where the equivalence of this problem to the existence of Hadamard matrices is settled. Later on, many authors examined the problem for which dimensions n a regular n-simplex can be inscribed faithfully into the n-cube, that means, each vertex of the simplex is also a vertex of the cube; see, for instance, [6], [5], [10], [3], [14], as well as the survey [8]. In particular, A. I. Medjanik has proved in [10] that the condition $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$ is necessary, and, up to now, no counter-example to the assumption that this condition also suffices is known.

Several generalizations, concerning the above mentioned Problem (II), are studied in [7].

In the present note, we study the following question: For which dimensions n is it possible to inscribe a regular n-simplex S into the n-cube, whose vertex set W_n is identified with the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n in a canonical way, such that the vertices of S constitute a subgroup of $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, +)$?

In Theorem 2.2, it is proved that this is the case if and only if n+1 is a power of 2. Moreover, exactly in this case there exist $\frac{1}{n+1} \cdot 2^n$ regular n-simplices whose vertex sets constitute a disjoint covering of W_n .

Moreover, in Section 3 we prove that a linear subspace U of the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n is – considered as a subset of W_n – the set of vertices of a regular simplex of dimension n if and only if the orthogonal space U^{\perp} is a Hamming Code of dimension $n - \log_2(n+1)$ over the field \mathbb{F}_2 .

For a recent contribution concerning coverings and packings of the n-cube by so called rectangular simplices see [9].

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Eike Hertel and Horst Martini for several discussions concerning the history of the problem to inscribe a regular *n*-simplex faithfully into the *n*-cube.

§ 2 Regular simplices with a group structure

For a convex polytope $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, let V(P) denote the vertex set of P. Furthermore, put

(2.1 a)
$$C_n := [0,1]^n$$
,

(2.1 b)
$$W_n := \{0,1\}^n = V(C_n)$$
.

Let " \oplus " denote addition in W_n modulo 2; thus (W_n, \oplus) is a group which is canonically isomorphic to $(\mathbb{F}_2^n, +)$. Since we consider W_n as a subset of \mathbb{R}^n , we prefer to write " \oplus " rather than "+".

Remark 2.1: If $x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in W_n$ and $x_{i_j} = 1$ for exactly k indices $1 \le i_1 < ... < i_k \le n$, then the map $f_x : W_n \to W_n$, defined by $f_x(w) := x \oplus w$, is the restriction of some congruence map defined on \mathbb{R}^n ; namely we have

$$f_x(w) = (s_{i_1} \circ \ldots \circ s_{i_k})(w)$$
 for $w \in W_n$,

where s_{ν} , $1 \leq \nu \leq n$, denotes the reflection at the affine hyperplane

$$H_{\nu} := \{(t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n | t_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \}.$$

This means that for every subset $V_0 \subseteq W_n$ the sets conv (V_0) and conv $(f_x(V_0))$ are congruent, where "conv" means convex hull.

We can now prove

Theorem 2.2: For $n \geq 3$, the following three statements are equivalent:

- (i) (W_n, \oplus) contains some subgroup U such that conv (U) is a regular simplex of dimension n.
- (ii) For $m := \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot 2^n$ one has

$$(2.2) W_n = V(S_1) \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V(S_m)$$

for certain regular simplices S_1, \ldots, S_m of dimension n.

(iii) n+1 is some power of 2.

Proof: (i) \Longrightarrow (ii):

By (i), we have |U| = n + 1. Let N_1, \ldots, N_m denote the cosets of U in W_n , and put $S_i := \text{conv } (N_i)$ for $1 \le i \le m = \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot 2^n$. Then Remark 2.1 implies that S_1, \ldots, S_m are regular n-dimensional simplices, and we have

$$W_n = N_1 \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} N_m = V(S_1) \dot{\cup} \dots \dot{\cup} V(S_m).$$

- $(ii) \Longrightarrow (iii)$ is trivial.
- $(iii) \Longrightarrow (i)$:

We proceed by induction on $log_2(n+1)$. For n=3 put

$$U = U_3 = \{(0,0,0), (1,1,0), (1,0,1), (0,1,1)\}.$$

In particular, any two distinct vertices in U_3 exhibit Hamming distance 2.

Now assume that $n \geq 3$ satisfies $\log_2(n+1) \in \mathbb{N}$, and that

$$U = U_n = \{v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\} \subset W_n$$

satisfies (i) for n, where $v_1 = (0, ..., 0)$ and any two distinct vertices in U_n exhibit Hamming distance $\frac{n+1}{2}$. Write

$$(2.3 \text{ a})$$
 $v_i = (v_{i1}, \dots, v_{in}) \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n+1$

and define $w_1, \ldots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \ldots, w_{2n+2} \in W_{2n+1}$ by

(2.3 b)
$$w_i := (v_{i1}, v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i2}, \dots, v_{in}, v_{in}, 0) \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n+1,$$

$$(2.3 c) w_{n+2} := (1, 0, 1, 0, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 1),$$

(2.3 d)
$$w_{n+1+i} := w_i \oplus w_{n+2} \text{ for } 2 \le i \le n+1$$
.

By construction,

$$(2.4) U_{2n+1} := \{w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}, \dots, w_{2n+2}\}$$

is a subgroup of (W_{2n+1}, \oplus) with $w_1 = (0, \dots, 0)$, and any $w_i, w_j, 1 \le i < j \le 2n+2$, exhibit Hamming distance n+1 and Euclidean distance $\sqrt{n+1}$. Since $|U_{2n+1}| = 2n+2$, we see that conv (U_{2n+1}) is a regular (2n+1)-dimensional simplex as claimed.

§ 3 A Connection to the Theory of Hamming Codes

In this section, we identify W_n with the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^n = (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ in the obvious way, but consider the elements of \mathbb{F}_2^n as columns. For a linear subspace U of \mathbb{F}_2^n we write as usual

(3.1)
$$U^{\perp} := \{ v \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | w^{\top} \cdot v = 0 \text{ for all } w \in U \}.$$

Note that \mathbb{F}_2^n contains a Hamming Code – that is a 1-perfect error correcting code – if and only if n+1 is some power of 2. (For the theory of Hamming Codes cf., for example, [1], (Section 12.4), [2], (Section 11.1), or [12], (Section 2.2).) Thus, the existence of Hamming Codes in \mathbb{F}_2^n is equivalent to all of the statements in Theorem 2.2. In what follows, assume $n+1=2^r$ for some $r\in\mathbb{N}$. Now we prove the following stronger connection between regular simplices and Hamming Codes.

Theorem 3.1: For a linear subspace U of \mathbb{F}_2^n , the following two statements are equivalent:

- (i) U is considered as a subgroup of (W_n, \oplus) the set of vertices of a regular simplex S = conv (U) of dimension n.
- (ii) U^{\perp} is a Hamming Code of dimension n-r.

Proof: First of all, note that the dimension n of S in (i) is considered over the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers, while the dimension n-r of U^{\perp} in (ii) is considered over the field \mathbb{F}_2 .

(i) \Longrightarrow (ii): Write $U = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{n+1}\}$ as well as

$$\mathbf{u}_i = (u_{i1}, \dots, u_{in})^{\top} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n+1.$$

Choose some linear base B of the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space U. Since $|U| = n + 1 = 2^r$, one has |B| = r, say $B = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}$. Consider the matrix

(3.2)
$$M := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_{1}^{\top} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{u}_{r}^{\top} \end{pmatrix} = (u_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq n}$$

as well as the \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space

(3.3)
$$C := U^{\perp} = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | M \cdot (c_1, \dots, c_n)^{\top} = 0\}.$$

The matrix M exhibits rank r; thus the subspace C of \mathbb{F}_2^n exhibits dimension n-r. Since conv (U) is a nondegenerate simplex in \mathbb{R}^n , B is neither contained in a hyperplane

$$\{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)^{\top}\in\mathbb{F}_2^n|t_{\nu}=0\}$$
 for some ν with $1\leq\nu\leq n$,

nor in a hyperplane

$$\{(t_1,\ldots,t_n)^{\top} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | t_{\nu} = t_{\mu}\}$$
 for certain ν,μ with $1 \leq \nu < \mu \leq n$.

This means that any two columns of M are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_2 . Thus M must exhibit any column vector of $\mathbb{F}_2^r \setminus \{0\}$ exactly once, because M contains exactly $n = 2^r - 1$ columns. Therefore, by the theory of Hamming Codes, any two different vectors of $C = U^{\perp}$ exhibit Hamming distance at least 3, and C is a Hamming Code in \mathbb{F}_2^n .

Assume $C=U^{\perp}$ is a Hamming Code of dimension $n-r=2^r-r-1$. According to Theorem 2.2, choose some linear subspace $U_0\subseteq \mathbb{F}_2^n\cong W_n$ such that $S=\operatorname{conv}(U_0)$ is a regular simplex of dimension n. By the first part of this proof, U_0^{\perp} is a Hamming Code of dimension n-r. There exists some permutation $\pi\in S_n$ such that the two Hamming Codes U^{\perp} and U_0^{\perp} in \mathbb{F}_2^n satisfy the relation

$$U^{\perp} = \left\{ (t_{\pi(1)}, \dots, t_{\pi(n)}) | (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in U_0^{\perp} \right\}.$$

Thus we have also

$$U = \{(t_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, t_{\pi(n)}) | (t_1, \ldots, t_n) \in U_0\}.$$

Therefore, $U = C^{\perp}$ satisfies (i), because U_0 does.

References

- [1] M. AIGNER: Diskrete Mathematik, Vieweg, Berlin, 1993.
- [2] G. COHEN, I. HONKALA, S. LITSYN, AND A. LOBSTEIN: Covering Codes, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1997.

- [3] V. L. Dol'nikov: On the Jung constant in l_1^n (Russ.). Mat. Zametki 42 (1987), 519-526; Transl.: Math. Notes 42 (1987), 787-791.
- [4] H. Hadwiger: Über die rationale Lagerung des regulären Simplex. Mathematische Zeitschrift 42 (1937), 625-628. Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin W9.
- [5] H. HADWIGER: Ungelöste Probleme Nr. 10. Elemente der Mathematik 11 (1956), 36-37.
- [6] J. D. JARRATT AND J. J. SEIDEL: A note on the equivalence of the problem of embedding a regular N-simplex in the N-dimensional hypercubic lattice to a certain integral matrix completion problem. Indian Journal of Mathematics 27 (1985), 57-62.
- [7] W. F. Kibble: Regular polytopes inscribed in other regular polytopes. Math. Student 17 (1949), 26-31.

- [8] H. MARTINI: A hierarchical classification of Euclidean polytopes with regularity properties, in: *Polytopes-Abstract, Convex and Computational*, NATO ASI Series C, 440, T. Bisztriczky, P. Mc Mullen, R. Schneider and A. Ivić Weiss (eds.). Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1994), 71-96.
- [9] H. MARTINI AND W. WENZEL: Covering and packing problems in lattices associated with the *n*-cube, European Journal of Combinatorics 23 (2002), 63-75.
- [10] A. I. MEDJANIK: A regular simplex inscribed in the cube (Russ.). Ukrain. Geometr. Sb. Vyp. 13 (1973), 109-112.
- [11] M. J. Pelling: Regular simplices with rational vertices. Bull. London Math. Soc. 9 (1977), 199-200.
- [12] V. Pless: Introduction to the theory of error-correcting codes, Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, Wiley, New York, 1982.
- [13] I. J. Schoenberg: Regular simplices and quadratic forms. Journal of the London Mathematical Society 12 (1937), 48-55.
- [14] W. S. Wallis: Combinatorial Designs. Marcel Deccer, Inc., New York and Basel, 1988.

Walter Wenzel Fakultät für Mathematik Technische Universität Chemnitz D-09107 Chemnitz GERMANY

email:walter@mathematik.tu-chemnitz.de